			Thin
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A	10/656,844	JOUPPI ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary E. Elkins	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap b) or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due co	urse. THIS
1. $igtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment filed</u>	<u> 1 31 October 2005</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23,25-27 and 29</u> .			
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 	ve been received.		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority december of the priority december.	- ''		n from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	national stage application	TI HOIH UIC
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requ	irements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subr INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			TICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	ust be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 📗 to Paper No./Mail Date	→		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examined Paper No./Mail Date	r's Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in			ack) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			te the
Attachment(s)	E	Detent Application (DTO	152)
1.	5. ☐ Notice of Informal F6. ☐ Interview Summary	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	152)
_	Paper No./Mail Da	te	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No./Mail Date 	/08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendi	ment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allow	ance
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	1 5 61	// _
	-	Juy 1, Ull	-
	~	JGARY E. ELKINS	
	. :	PRIMARY EXAMINE	
		ART UNIT 325	57d J

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Timothy A. Czaja on 01 December 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, line 7, ---extends to and--- has been inserted after "that".

In claim 20, line 9, ---extends to and--- has been inserted after "that".

Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claims are considered allowable over the prior art of record insofar as none of the prior art suggests formation of the interlocking tab and slot construction in a carton closure as claimed. The patent to Roseth et al, as indicated in the response, would not have suggested forming the tapered sides of the tab and slot with the taper angle of the slot being greater than the taper angle of the tab in an interlock where the slot extends to and is open to the leading edge of the flap. The interlock of Roseth et al is effected by engagement between the tab and slot internal of the flap edge, i.e. the engagement of the interlock is functionally different in the Roseth et al construction. The Examiner's Amendment is made to emphasize the differences between the claimed interlock construction and the internal type interlock such as that of Roseth et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,844

Art Unit: 3727

Page 3

With respect to the rejections under 112, 1st paragraph and the objection to the drawings in the first office action, the rejections/objection are withdrawn in view of the newly submitted fig. 6 and the remarks set forth in the response. The changes to the drawing are not considered new matter insofar as the changes reflect the only possible manner in which the two panels would interlock as disclosed, i.e. the panels could only interlock if the width of the two interlocking panels is less than the width of the opposing wall. One of ordinary skill in this art would have recognized the necessary construction to effect the interlock as disclosed. The confusion is considered a result of the drawings incorrectly showing the relative widths of the panels rather than a lack of disclosure and enablement.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."